

Seminar on Better Auditing of Public Aids and Subsidies Prague, 6-8 November 2006

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR - RESULTS

Participants were asked to give an opinion on the presentations that they have heard and group exercises that they have participated in, according to the following scale:


Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
5	4	3	2	1

55 participants in total have returned the filled in questionnaire. Not all questions in the questionnaire have been answered by individual participants, either for the reason that they have not attended a specific meeting (participants had a choice to attend 2 of 3 discussion groups) or they have chosen not to give an answer.

GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE WORKSHOP:


Overall evaluation of Discussion group 1

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
21	19	5	0	0
47%	42%	11%	0%	0%




Overall evaluation of Discussion group 2

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
13	7	4	0	0
54%	29%	17%	0%	0%




Overall evaluation of Discussion group 3

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
13	7	2	0	0
59%	32%	9%	0%	0%




Report on Group 1 Discussion

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
21	23	2	0	0
46%	50%	4%	0%	0%




Report on Group 2 Discussion

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
20	12	3	0	1
56%	33%	8%	0%	3%




Report on Group 3 Discussion

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
31	10	2	0	0
72%	23%	5%	0%	0%




Round Table Summing-up by keynote speakers

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
24	15	3	0	0
57%	36%	7%	0%	0%



Questions to Speakers and Plenary Discussions


Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
11	19	7	3	1
27%	46%	17%	7%	3%



OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE WORKSHOP:


The contribution of SIGMA facilitators

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
31	10	5	0	0
67%	22%	11%	0%	0%



Overall evaluation of the workshop

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
22	16	3	0	0
54%	39%	7%	0%	0%



ORGANISATION:


How do you estimate the organization of the workshop?

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
37	13	0	0	0
74%	26%	0%	0%	0%



Was the time allowed for discussions and questions enough?


Too Much Time	Enough	Not Quite Enough	Not Enough	No Answer
10	33	0	0	0
23%	77%	0%	0%	0%



RELEVANCE

How useful and relevant was the workshop to your work?

Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
28	15	6	0	0
57%	31%	12%	0%	0%



In the questionnaire participants were asked to give additional questions that they would like to have seen included

"More detailed info of audit approach and audit programmes"

They were also asked to give additional comments and recommendations

"Very good indeed – we need more of this type of seminar from ETC"

"As always the most important added value of the seminar was possibility to exchange the views, opinions, remarks with colleagues from other countries."

"Keep going like that."

"The topic of the seminar was too broad – the three themes have only limited overlap – this did not encourage the general discussion – this was especially evident in the plenary sessions (specific groups dealing with the different themes)"

"A very well organized and interesting seminar. I loved every minute of it! Many thanks to the NKU organizers!"

"Next time to organize seminar "State subsidies for local government institutions: Auditing and audit approach."

"Some contributions would have been more useful if closer to the day to day work (Discussion group 2)."